Reviews

Nicholas La Raffa

Nathaniel’s project consists of a shopping page application that features three different pages based on navigational links in the header. He has a Welcome page, a page dedicated to shopping item, and a page that is for Q&A. Even though the page isn’t 100% complete, the base foundation for it has been completed. His project contains three pages that are coded in separate .js files. Each page consists of the same header and body UI, and consists of the same navigational links to different pages. This allows for seamless transition between pages and easy integration of more tabs in the future. Areas to improve on in his project are Color Scheme, he needs more content, his UI is a little bland, and he could add more media. For the color scheme, It felt like colors were essentially thrown around, with some areas purple, and other are black and yellow. If he would’ve stuck with the base colors of either black and yellow, or black and purple, it would have been a little less color flashy.

Johnathan

Nathaniel’s shopping application is a great start. The links in the header all work. The Q & A section is a very good idea. The only areas that need to improved is the different pages need content added. Once the content for the other pages are added i can give more feedback.

Christopher

Nathaniel’s' project is a shopping page application with three distinct pages based on navigational links in the header. He has a Welcome page, a page dedicated to shopping items, and a page dedicated to questions and answers. Even though the page isn't entirely finished, the groundwork for it has been laid.

**Checklist** {(V) = Check (X) = Not Check}

(V)Typography: The text on all pages of the project was clearly readable.

(X)Style Conformity: There was no real iconic look for his website, and the color scheme was too flashy to have a real dedicated look to the website.

(X)Adaptability: His website adapted to the moniter screen, and although he did not show it on a smaller scale, it was hardcoded in pixels, therefore the website was not adaptable but wouldnt break either.

(V)Compliance With Standards: His website was able to be used on all devices and complies with Google and Apple Requirements.

(V)Functionality: All of His implemented UI features were 100% usable and active.

(V)Spelling:Words on his page were all spelled correctly and coherently.

(V)Check Fields and Standard Items:There were no check fields or other UI components included on the website as of now. There were navigation links however, so this would count as Standard Items.

(V)Informative Elements:There were not really any errors to go by, so there was no need to show any error messages. Not enough content to have an error.

Source: <https://lvivity.com/checklist-for-ui-testing>

Link to GitHub

<https://github.com/NathanielBreeden/3_2.git>

Self-Reflection

Overall, I do agree with the reviews that they gave me. I do need to add more content and pictures to each page. The UI could also use some work to make it easier to navigate. I sort of agree with fixing the colors, I think swapping the blue and black would make it look better. I do not agree with the statement that I threw colors around, because it said I used yellow, purple, blue, and black. I only used black and blue, no yellow. I did have two shades of blue and one of them might accidently be purple so I do need to see and fix it if that is the case.